

# Equivariant definable triangulations of definable $G$ sets

Tomohiro Kawakami

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Wakayama University,  
Sakaedani Wakayama 640-8510, Japan

Received July 26, 2005

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite group. We prove that every definable  $G$  set in a representation  $\Omega$  of  $G$  admits an equivariant definable triangulation  $(L, \phi)$  such that for each open simplex  $\text{int}(\Delta)$  of  $L$ ,  $\phi(\text{int}(\Delta))$  is a locally closed definable  $C^r$  submanifold of  $\Omega$  and that it induces a definable triangulation of  $X/G$  compatible with the orbit types.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14P10, 57S10, 57S15, 03C64.

*Keywords and Phrases.* Definable  $G$  sets, equivariant definable triangulations, o-minimal.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote an o-minimal expansion of the standard structure  $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$  of the field of real numbers. The term “definable” means “definable with parameters in  $\mathcal{M}$ ”. General references on o-minimal structures are [2], [4], see also [12]. Further properties and constructions of them are studied in [3], [5], [10]. It is known in [11] that there exist uncountably many o-minimal expansions of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Any definable category is a generalization of the semialgebraic category, the definable category on  $\mathcal{R}$  coincides with the semialgebraic one, and this category is studied in [1]. An equivariant definable category is studied in [7], [8], [6].

A group  $G$  is a *definable group* if  $G$  is a definable set and the group operations  $G \times G \rightarrow G$  and  $G \rightarrow G$  are definable. A *representation map* of a definable group  $G$  is a group homomorphism from  $G$  to some  $O(n)$  which is definable. A *representation* of  $G$  is the representation space of a representation map of  $G$ . A *definable  $G$  set*

means a  $G$  invariant definable subset of some representation of  $G$ .

In this paper, we are concerned with equivariant definable triangulations of definable  $G$  sets when  $G$  is a finite group.

Let  $X$  be a definable  $G$  set. A definable triangulation  $(L, \phi)$  of the orbit space  $X/G$  is *compatible with the orbit types* if for any orbit type  $(H)$ ,  $\phi \circ \pi(X(H))$  is a union of open simplexes of  $L$ , where  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$  denotes the orbit map and  $X(H) = \{x \in X \mid (G_x) = (H)\}$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $X$  a definable  $G$  set. An *equivariant definable triangulation*  $(L, \phi)$  of  $X$  consists of a  $G$  invariant union  $L$  of open simplexes of an equivariant simplicial complex and a definable  $G$  homeomorphism  $\phi : |L| \rightarrow X$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $X$  a definable  $G$  set in a representation  $\Omega$  of  $G$  and  $r$  a positive integer. Then there exists an equivariant definable triangulation  $(L, \phi)$  of  $X$  such that:*

- (1) *For any open simplex  $\text{int}(\Delta^n)$  of  $L$ ,*

$\phi(\text{int}(\Delta^n))$  is a locally closed definable  $C^r$  submanifold of  $\Omega$  and  $\phi|_{\text{int}(\Delta^n)}$  is a definable  $C^r$  diffeomorphism onto its image.

- (2) This triangulation induces a definable triangulation of  $X/G$  compatible with the orbit types.

In particular, if  $X$  is compact, then we can take  $L$  to be an equivariant simplicial complex.

## 2. Proof of Theorem

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Recall the definition of equivariant simplicial complexes. A *simplicial  $G$  complex* consists of a simplicial complex  $K$  together with a  $G$  action  $\psi : G \times K \rightarrow K$  such that  $\psi_g = \psi(g, \cdot) : K \rightarrow K$  is a simplicial homeomorphism for any  $g \in G$ . We say that a simplicial  $G$  complex is an *equivariant simplicial complex* if the following two conditions are satisfied.

- (1) For any subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , if  $\Delta^n = \langle v_0, \dots, v_n \rangle$  and  $\Delta^{n'} = \langle h_0 v_0, \dots, h_n v_n \rangle$  are simplexes of  $K$  for  $h_i \in H$ , then there exists an  $h \in H$  such that  $h v_i = h_i v_i$  for all  $i$ .
- (2) For every simplex  $\Delta^n$  of  $K$ , the vertices  $v_0, \dots, v_n$  of  $\Delta^n$  can be ordered with  $G_{v_n} \subset \dots \subset G_{v_0}$ .

Note that the second barycentric subdivision of any simplicial  $G$  complex is an equivariant simplicial complex (e.g. [9])

To prove Theorem, we need results on the  $C^r$  singular point set of a definable set and equivariant stratifications of definable  $G$  sets.

**Theorem 2.1.** (II.1.10 [12]) *Let  $X$  be a nonempty definable set and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the  $C^r$  singular point set is a definable subset of  $X$  whose dimension is less than  $\dim X$ , where  $\dim \emptyset = -\infty$ .*

Now we consider equivariant stratifications of definable  $G$  sets.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a compact definable group,  $X$  a definable  $G$  set in a representation  $\Omega$  of  $G$  and  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a finite collection of definable  $G$  subsets of  $X$ . Then there exists a finite partition  $\{A_l\}_l$  of  $X$  into locally closed definable  $C^r G$  submanifolds of  $\Omega$  such that:*

- (1) Every  $X_i$  is a union of some  $A_l$ .
- (2) If  $A_j \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A_k \subset A_j$ .

*Proof.* Refining  $\{X_i\} \cup \{X\}$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $\{X_i\}$  is a partition of  $X$ .

We proceed by induction on  $\dim X$ . Then by Theorem 2.1, for each  $X_i$ , there exists a definable  $C^r$  submanifold  $N_i$  of  $X_i$  contained in  $X_i$  and the dimension of  $S_i := X_i - N_i$  is less than  $\dim X_i$ . Note that  $N_i \supset S_i$ . By the definition of  $C^r$  regular point sets,  $N_i$  is  $G$  invariant. Thus  $N_i$  is a locally closed definable  $C^r G$  submanifold of  $\Omega$ . Hence  $S_i$  is a definable  $G$  set. Note that connected components of  $N_i$  do not necessarily have the same dimension. Let  $A_{it}$  be the union of connected components of  $N_i$  with dimension  $t$ . Then each  $A_{it}$  is a locally closed definable  $C^r G$  submanifold of  $\Omega$ . Let  $S_{i,j} = (A_{ij} - A_{ij}) \cap X_i$ . Then  $S_{i,j}$  is a  $G$  invariant definable subset of  $X$  and  $A_{kt} \cap S_{i,j} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow S_{i,j} \subset A_{kt}$ .

Let  $Y = \cup S_{i,j}$  and  $Y_i = Y \cap X_i$ . Since  $\dim Y < \dim X$  and by the inductive hypothesis, there exists a finite partition  $\{B_k\}$  of  $Y$  into locally closed definable  $C^r G$  submanifolds of  $\Omega$  satisfying the conditions in the theorem. Therefore  $\{A_l\} := \{A_{it}\} \cup \{B_k\}$  is the required partition of  $X$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First we prove the case where  $X$  is compact. Note that for each orbit type  $(H)$  of  $X$ ,  $X(H) = \{x \in X | G_x \text{ is conjugate to } H\}$  is  $G$  invariant. Thus by Theorem 2.2, there exists a finite partition  $\{A_l\}$  of  $X$  into locally closed definable  $C^r G$  submanifolds of  $\Omega$  compatible with  $\{X(H)\}$ . Since  $A_l$  is a definable  $G$  set and the orbit map  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$  is a definable map,  $\pi(A_l)$  is a definable subset of  $X/G$ . Thus there exists a definable triangulation

$(K, \tau)$  of  $X/G$  compatible with  $\{\pi(A_i)\}$  by 8.2.9 [2]. Replacing some subdivision, if necessary, for each  $\Delta \in K$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(\tau(\text{Int } \Delta))$  is a definable  $C^r G$  submanifold of  $\Omega$  and there exists a definable  $C^r$  section  $s_\Delta : \tau(\Delta) \rightarrow X$  such that:

- (1)  $s_\Delta(\tau(\text{Int } \Delta))$  is a locally closed definable  $C^r$  submanifold of  $\Omega$ .
- (2)  $s_\Delta|_{\pi(\text{Int } \Delta)}$  is a definable  $C^r$  diffeomorphism onto its image.

Note that  $s_\Delta(\tau(\Delta)) = (\pi^{-1}(\tau(\Delta)))^H$  and  $s_\Delta(\tau(\text{Int } (\Delta))) = (\pi^{-1}(\tau(\text{Int } (\Delta))))^H$ , where  $H$  is the orbit type of  $\tau(\text{int } \Delta^n)$ .

Let  $L'$  be a finite abstract simplicial complex whose simplexes are  $\{gs(\tau(\Delta)) | \Delta \in K, g \in G\}$ . Then  $L'$  is an abstract  $G$  complex with the following  $G$  action. For a simplex  $\{hs(v_0), \dots, hs(v_n)\}$  in  $L'$  and  $g \in G$ , then  $\phi_g(\{hs(v_0), \dots, hs(v_n)\}) = \{ghs(v_0), \dots, ghs(v_n)\}$ . Now let  $L$  be the realization of  $L'$  and let  $\langle\langle gs(v_0), \dots, gs(v_n) \rangle\rangle$

denote the corresponding simplex to  $\{gs(\tau(v_0)), \dots, gs(\tau(v_n))\}$  for  $\Delta = \langle v_0, \dots, v_n \rangle \in K$ . Then  $L$  is an equivariant simplicial complex with the action induced by  $L'$ . For any  $g \in G$ ,  $\Delta^n = \langle v_0, \dots, v_n \rangle$  in  $K$  and a section  $s$  on  $\Delta^n$ , we define a linear homeomorphism  $\prod_{\langle\langle gs(\Delta^n) \rangle\rangle} : \langle\langle gs(v_0), \dots, gs(v_n) \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \Delta^n$ ,  $\prod_{\langle\langle gs(\Delta^n) \rangle\rangle}(gs(v_i)) = v_i$ . Define  $\prod = \cup \prod_{\langle\langle gs(\Delta^n) \rangle\rangle} : L \rightarrow K$ . Then  $\prod$  is a well-defined simplicial map. Note that  $\prod : L \rightarrow K$  is the orbit map and  $L/G = K$ .

Define a map  $\eta : |L| \rightarrow X$  by  $\eta|_{\langle\langle gs(\Delta^n) \rangle\rangle} = gs \circ \tau \circ \prod|_{\langle\langle gs(\Delta^n) \rangle\rangle} : \langle\langle gs(\Delta^n) \rangle\rangle \rightarrow gs(\tau(\Delta^n))$ ,  $\Delta^n \in K, g \in G$ . Then  $\eta$  is a well-defined definable  $G$  map. For each simplex  $\Delta = \langle\langle gs(\Delta^n) \rangle\rangle$  of  $L$ , we have  $\eta(\text{int } (\Delta)) = gs(\tau(\text{int } (\Delta)))$ . Moreover  $\eta|_{\langle\langle gs(\text{int } (\Delta^n)) \rangle\rangle}$  is a definable  $C^r G$  diffeomorphism from  $\langle\langle gs(\text{int } (\Delta^n)) \rangle\rangle$  onto its image because it is the composition of a linear isomorphism  $\prod|_{\langle\langle gs(\text{int } \Delta^n) \rangle\rangle}$ , and definable  $C^r$  diffeomorphisms  $\tau|_{\text{int } (\Delta)}$  and  $gs$ . Therefore  $(L, \eta)$  is the required triangulation.

Assume that  $X$  is non-compact and closed in  $\Omega$ . Then we may assume that  $0 \notin X$ . Let

$\theta : \Omega - \{0\} \rightarrow \Omega - \{0\}$  be  $\theta(x) = \frac{x}{\|x\|^2}$ . Then it is a Nash  $G$  diffeomorphism because  $G$  acts on  $\Omega$  orthogonally, where  $\|x\|$  denotes the standard norm of  $x$ . Then  $X^* = \theta(X) \cup \{0\}$  is a compact definable  $G$  set which is a one point compactification of  $X$ . Apply  $X^*$  to the compact case, we have an equivariant definable triangulation  $(K^*, \phi^*)$ . Then  $(\phi^*)^{-1}(0)$  is a vertex. Since  $0$  is a fixed point,  $(K^* - (\phi^*)^{-1}(0), \phi^*|_{K^* - (\phi^*)^{-1}(0)})$  is the required triangulation.

Now we prove the case where  $X$  is not closed in  $\Omega$ . Let  $X$  be the closure of  $X$  in  $\Omega$ . Then  $X$  is a closed definable  $G$  set in  $\Omega$ .

Applying  $X$  to the closed case, we have an equivariant triangulation of  $X$  compatible with  $X$ . Therefore we have the theorem.

## References

- [1] M. J. Choi, T. Kawakami and D.H. Park, *Equivariant semialgebraic vector bundles*, Topology Appl. **123** (2002), 383-400.
- [2] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, Lecture notes series **248**, London Math. Soc. Cambridge Univ. Press (1998).
- [3] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre and D. Marker, *The elementary theory of restricted analytic field with exponentiation*, Ann. Math. **140** (1994), 183-205.
- [4] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, *Geometric categories and o-minimal structures*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), 497-540.
- [5] L. van den Dries and P. Speissegger, *The real field with convergent generalized power series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350**, (1998), 4377-4421.
- [6] T. Kawakami, *Definable  $G$  CW complex structures of definable  $G$  sets and their applications*, Bull. Fac. Ed. Wakayama Univ. Natur. Sci. **55**, (2004), 1-15.
- [7] T. Kawakami, *Equivariant differential topology in an o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers*, Topology Appl. **123** (2002), 323-349.
- [8] T. Kawakami, *Imbedding of manifolds defined on an o-minimal structures on  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 183-201.

[9] K. Kawakubo, *The theory of transformation groups*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1991.

[10] Y. Peterzil, A. Pillay and S.

Starchenko, *Definably simple groups in o-minimal structures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 4397–4419.

[11] J.P. Rolin, P. Speissegger and A.J.

Wilkie, *Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes and o-minimality*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), 751-777.

[12] M. Shiota, *Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets*, Progress in Math. **150** (1997), Birkhäuser.